IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

TAMMY MCCRAE-COLEY,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Case No. 1:21-cv-666
STARTER HOMES PROGRAMS INC., $et\ al.$,)))	
Defendants.))	

STARTER HOMES DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST MOTION IN LIMINE

Pursuant to the Local Rules and this Court's orders, Defendants Starter Programs Homes, Inc., d/b/a renttoownhomefinder.com and Lending Cloud Homes; Starter Home Investing, Inc., d/b/a renttoownhomefinder.com and Lending Cloud Homes; Xanadu Marketing, Inc., individually (assumed name Apex Page Builder), d/b/a cloudbasedpersonalloans.com and xanadutrucking.com; and Insurance-Reviewed.com (collectively hereinafter, "Defendants") hereby respond to Plaintiff's First Motion in Limine filed January 18, 2023 (D.E. #55) as follows:

First, Defendants hereby give notice that they withdraw the referenced exhibit (SHD000046). Plaintiff's request to exclude the document is therefore moot.

Plaintiff's motion *in limine*, however, also asks to exclude testimony regarding this opt-in made under the name "Tammy Cooley" (not "Coley") in

October 2021. Defendants only recently discovered this third opt-in when they conducted a search of their electronic opt-in records for this misspelling. Prior searching was limited to the correct spelling of Plaintiff's name, not this misspelling. (SHD000046 is the record generated reflecting that search and is not a preexisting document.) This information was not known for more than a year, as Plaintiff's motion alleges, but instead only recently discovered by Defendants after the close of discovery. Therefore, the failure to provide it earlier in the litigation is "substantially justified." Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1).

Additionally, Plaintiff's defense is not prejudiced because her position as to this opt-in is presumably the same as her position as to the other, previously disclosed opt-ins—namely, that she simply made no such opt-in. Plaintiff has not offered any specific facts or documents related to the date of the first two opt-ins to rebut the likelihood that it was her, nor has she disclosed an expert with relevant knowledge to review technical data regarding those opt-ins. Instead, she rests on her straightforward denial, which presumably she does for the third opt-in as well. Unsurprisingly then Plaintiff's motion identifies no particular respect as to which her case is prejudiced by this new information. The lack of prior disclosure regarding an October 2021 opt-in is thus "harmless." Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1).

Accordingly, while Defendants will not utilize SHD000046 at trial, excluding truthful testimony from witnesses about what they have since discovered is not warranted under Rule 26(e) or Rule 37(c)(1).

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully ask that Plaintiff's motion *in limine* be denied.

Respectfully submitted, this the 25th day of January, 2023.

/s/B. Tyler Brooks

B. Tyler Brooks (N.C. Bar No. 37604) Attorney for Starter Homes Defendants LAW OFFICE OF B. TYLER BROOKS, PLLC P.O. Box 10767 Greensboro, North Carolina 27404 Telephone: (336) 707-8855

Fax: (336) 900-6535

Email: btb@btylerbrookslawyer.com

Counsel for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT

The undersigned counsel certifies that the foregoing brief complies with Local Civil Rule 7.3(d) in that, according to the word count feature of his word processing software, it contains less than 6,250 words, excluding those parts that are allowed to be excluded by the Rule. According to the word count feature, this brief contains 574 words.

/s/B. Tyler Brooks
B. Tyler Brooks

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was served by email and First-Class U.S. mail on January 25, 2023.

/s/B. Tyler Brooks
B. Tyler Brooks

SERVED:

Tammy McCrae-Coley 1400 Battleground Avenue Suite 116-L Greensboro, NC 27408 tammycoleyjd@gmail.com

Pro se Plaintiff